

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/822,619	03/30/2001	David A. Kumpf	10011119-1	7117
7590 02/09/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			GOLD, AVI M	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2157	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)				
	09/822,619	KUMPF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Avi Gold	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS is, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	October 2004.					
·= · ·						
. —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn fr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appli Pority documents have been rec Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment received on October 18, 2004 has been entered and fully considered.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 12, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada, U.S. Patent No. 6,711,626 further in view of Kadota, U.S. Patent No. 6,697,073.

Okada teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a composite device which comprises at least two devices and in which at least one of the devices controls the other (see abstract).

As to claims 1, 15, and 20, Okada teaches a method, system, and computer program product of assigning physically connected to a server in logical ports to respective peripheral devices a network having client computers with client software, wherein the respective peripheral devices are connected to one or more physical port interfaces, the assigned logical ports enabling client software to communicate with the

Application/Control Number: 09/822,619

Art Unit: 2157

peripheral devices regardless of the particular physical port interface the peripheral device is connected to, the method comprising the steps of:

the server initially assigning a logical port identification for a peripheral device that is connected to a physical port interface of the server and storing said logical port identification and said identification information in memory (col. 2, lines 25-44, Okada discloses logical channels linked to a physical connector);

the server thereafter directing communications for respective peripheral devices from client computers to said assigned logical port for such peripheral devices, wherein the communications are executed utilizing the client software (col. 13, lines 30-41, Okada discloses commands sent to devices based on respective logical channels).

Okada fails to teach the limitation further including the server querying, responsive to a predetermined event, a peripheral device that is connected to the server by a physical port interface for information which identifies the peripheral device, wherein said peripheral device identification information comprises one or more of the manufacturer, model name, model number and serial number of the peripheral device; and the peripheral device communicating said identification information to the server.

However, Kadota teaches an image processing system that selects one from a plurality of image processing devices (see abstract). Kadota teaches the use of the server querying, responsive to a predetermined event, a peripheral device that is connected to the server by a physical port interface for information which identifies the peripheral device, wherein said peripheral device identification information comprises one or more of the manufacturer, model name, model number and serial number of the

peripheral device (col. 2, lines 61-67, col. 3, lines 1-2, Kadota discloses a device connect through USB with a device ID; col. 9, lines 25-27, lines 51-63, Kadota discloses the extraction of the model name from the device); and the peripheral device communicating said identification information to the server (col. 8, lines 5-67, Kadota discloses a printer transmitting the device ID to the computer).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Okada in view of Kadota to use a peripheral device connected through logical and physical ports that sends identification information to the server.

One would be motivated to do so because identification information allows the server to keep track of the exact device being used.

Regarding claim 2, Okada teaches a method as defined in claim 1 wherein the peripheral devices are connected to the server via a physical parallel port interface (col. 10, lines 62-67, col. 11, lines 1-6, Okada discloses a scanner and printer connected through parallel).

Regarding claim 3, Okada teaches a method as defined in claim 1 wherein the peripheral devices are connected to the server via a physical Universal Serial Bus interface (col. 8, lines 42-51, Okada discloses USB connecting a copier and a computer).

Regarding claim 4, Kadota teaches a method as defined in claim 1 wherein said predetermined event is the initialization of the server (col. 10, lines 25-35, Kadota discloses a computer being started up).

Regarding claim 5, Okada teaches a method as defined in claim 1 wherein said predetermined event is the connection of a previously unconnected peripheral device to the server (col. 5, lines 53-67, col. 6, lines 1-5, Okada discloses connecting the device to a host).

Regarding claim 12, Kadota teaches a method as defined in claim 1 wherein said memory is a non-volatile memory (col. 5, lines 3-8, Kadota discloses the use of external memory such as a CD-ROM).

3. Claims 7-11, 13, 14, 16-19, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada and Kadota further in view of Fujitsuka et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,825,866.

Okada teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a composite device which comprises at least two devices and in which at least one of the devices controls the other (see abstract). Kadota teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an image processing system that selects one from a plurality of image processing devices (see abstract).

As to claims 7 and 16, Okada and Kadota teach the method and system of claims 1 and 15.

Okada and Kadota fail to teach the limitation further including the method and system as defined in claims 1 and 15 wherein said logical port identification and said identification information are stored in a table having a predetermined maximum number of logical port entries.

However, Fujitsuka teaches a private electronic exchange apparatus for use in offices and enterprises (see abstract). Fujitsuka teaches the use of logical port identification and identification information stored in a table having a predetermined maximum number of logical port entries (col. 1, lines 19-26, Fujitsuka discloses an allotment of port numbers for a table that links logical port numbers corresponding to respective physical port numbers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Okada and Kadota in view of Fujitsuka to use logical port identification and identification information stored in a table having a predetermined maximum number of logical port entries. One would be motivated to do so because the table would allow for efficient tracking of related logical and physical ports along with peripheral devices.

Regarding claims 8, 17, and 21, Fujitsuka teaches a method, system, and program as defined in claims 7, 16, and 20 wherein the status of each of said maximum

logical port entries is maintained in said table, with logical ports that are assigned having an assigned status and logical ports that are unassigned having a free status (col. 1, lines 27-30, Fujitsuka discloses that the relationship between the physical port numbers and the logical port numbers stored in the table can arbitrarily be changed).

Regarding claims 9, 18, and 22, Fujitsuka teaches a method, system, and program as defined in claims 8, 17, and 21 wherein when the server, responsive to said predetermined event, queries peripheral devices that are then connected to the server, compares said identification information received therefrom with said information maintained in said table and utilizes said assigned logical ports for directing communications to the connected peripheral devices in response to a positive comparison (col. 6, lines 25-40, Fujitsuka discloses detecting changes in the logical ports and directing communications if devices are connected properly).

Regarding claims 10, 19, and 23, Fujitsuka teaches a method, system, and program as defined in claims 8, 18, and 22 wherein when the server, responsive to said predetermined event, queries peripheral devices that are then connected to the server, compares said identification information received therefrom with said information maintained in said table and fails to detect a positive comparison, performs the following steps with respect to each peripheral device for which a positive comparison is not found:

the server searches the table to determine if the number of logical port entries is less than the maximum number of entries, indicating that one or more logical port entries are available (col. 1, lines 19-26, col. 6, lines 66-67, col. 7, lines 1-12, Fujitsuka discloses a logical port number changing section);

the server assigns an available logical port identification to an unassigned peripheral device and stores said logical port identification, said identification information and an assigned status in an entry in the table in response to a logical port being available (col. 1, lines 19-30);

the server suspends processing of the unassigned peripheral device for a predetermined time period if there is no logical port available (col. 1, lines 19-20, col. 6, lines 25-40);

the server resumes processing after said predetermined time period and searches said table for reserved status entries and selects a reserved entry that closely matches, according to predetermined criteria, the identification information of the peripheral device that is unassigned and assigns the unassigned peripheral device to the logical port (col. 1, lines 19-20, col. 6, lines 25-40).

Regarding claim 11, Fujitsuka teaches a method as defined in claim 10 wherein unassigned peripheral devices are placed in a queue for subsequent processing (col. 5, lines 57-65, Fujitsuka discloses the changing of a logical port).

Regarding claim 24, Kadota discloses a product as defined in claim 23 where said identification of information that approximates said identification information comprises at least identical manufacturer and model information (col. 9, lines 25-27).

4. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada, U.S. Patent No. 6,711,626, in view of Kadota, U.S. Patent No. 6,697,073, further in view of Fujitsuka et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,825,866.

Okada teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a composite device which comprises at least two devices and in which at least one of the devices controls the other (see abstract).

As to claim 13, Okada teaches a method of assigning logical ports to respective peripheral devices physically connected to a server in a network having client computers with client software, wherein the respective peripheral devices are adapted to be connected to one or more physical port interfaces, the assigned logical ports enabling client software to communicate with the peripheral devices regardless of the particular physical port interface the peripheral device is connected to, the method comprising the steps of:

the server storing assigned logical port identification and said identification information in memory (col. 2, lines 25-44);

the server thereafter directing communications for respective peripheral devices from client computers to said assigned logical port for such peripheral devices wherein said communications are executed utilizing the client software (col. 13, lines 30-41).

Okada fails to teach the limitation further including the server querying, responsive to a predetermined event, a peripheral device that is connected to the server by a physical port interface for information which identifies the peripheral device, wherein said peripheral device identification information comprises one or more of the manufacturer, model name, model number and serial number of the peripheral device; the peripheral device communicating said identification information to the server; and the server placing the unassigned peripheral devices in a queue for subsequent assignment of logical ports by manual assignment.

However, Kadota teaches an image processing system that selects one from a plurality of image processing devices (see abstract). Kadota teaches the use of the server querying, responsive to a predetermined event, a peripheral device that is connected to the server by a physical port interface for information which identifies the peripheral device (col. 9, lines 25-27, lines 51-63); and the peripheral device communicating said identification information to the server (col. 8, lines 5-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Okada in view of Kadota to use a peripheral device connected through logical and physical ports that sends identification information to the server.

One would be motivated to do so because identification information allows the server to keep track of the exact device being used.

Kadota and Okada fail to teach the limitation further including the server placing the unassigned peripheral devices in a queue for subsequent assignment of logical ports by manual assignment.

However, Fujitsuka teaches a private electronic exchange apparatus for use in offices and enterprises (see abstract). Fujitsuka teaches the changing of a logical port (col. 5, lines 57-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Okada and Kadota in view of Fujitsuka to use a queue for assignment of logical ports. One would be motivated to do so because the queue would allow for efficient assignment of ports.

Regarding claim 14, Fujitsuka discloses a method as defined in claim 13 wherein said logical port identification and said identification information are stored in a table having a predetermined maximum number of logical port entries, said logical ports that are assigned having an assigned status and logical ports that are unassigned having a free status (col. 1, lines 19-30).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to claims 10 and 23, Fujitsuka discloses an allotment of port numbers for a table that links logical port numbers corresponding to respective

Application/Control Number: 09/822,619 Page 12

Art Unit: 2157

physical port numbers on column 1, lines 19-26 and detecting changes in the logical ports and directing communications if devices are connected properly on column 6, lines 25-40.

- 6. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness for the combination of Okada and Kadota is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). The motivation is not flawed because Kadota is an improved method of what is shown in Okada. In addition, Kadota does obtain the model name of the device. This is shown on column 9, lines 25-27 and lines 51-63 and is pointed out more clearly in the above Office Action.
- 7. In response to applicant's argument that Okada, Kadota, and Fujitsuka are nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, only certain parts of Fujitsuka were used in the rejection and those parts are pertinent to the claims they were applied to.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,208,644 to Pannell et al.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,256,305 to Chau et al.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,272,560 to Kenton et al.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,377,584 to Kano et al.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,658,512 to Gokulrangan.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,694,354 to Elg.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,587,898 to Larson et al.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,603,744 to Mizutani et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,896,546 to Monahan et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,901,325 to Cox.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,557,049 to Maloy et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avi Gold whose telephone number is 571-272-4002.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Avi Gold

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2157

SALEH NAWAR

AMG